### Pages 1 - 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Charles R. Breyer, Judge

In re Volkswagen "Clean
Diesel" Marketing, Sales
Practices, and Products
Liability Litigation,

NO. C 15-md-02672 CRB

San Francisco, California Thursday, June 30, 2016

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiffs:

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN

275 Battery Street - 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111

BY: ELIZABETH J. CABRASER

DAVID S. STELLINGS

For Plaintiff PSC:

BARON & BUDD PC Encino Plaza

15910 Ventura Blvd. Ste. 1600

Encino, CA 91436

BY: ROLAND TELLIS

For Plaintiffs US. DEPT. OF JUSTICE:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Environmental & Natural Resources Div.

P.O.Box 7611

Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

BY: JOSHUA H. VAN EATON

BETHANY ENGEL

Reported By: Rhonda L. Aquilina, CSR #9956, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

For Plaintiffs US. DEPT. OF JUSTICE:

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

State of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue - Room 11000 San Francisco, California 94102

BY: NICKLAS A. AKERS

For PSC:

KELLER, ROHRBACK

1201 Third Avenue, Ste. 3200

Seattle, WA 98101-3052

BY: LYNN SARKO

For Plaintiff:

ROBBINS, GELLER, RUDMAN & DOWD LLP 120 East Palmetto Park Rd, Ste. 500

Boca Raton, FL 33432

BY: MARK J. DEARMAN

For PSC:

SEEGER WEISS LLP 77 Water Street New York, NY 10005

BY: CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER

For PSC:

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY LLP

San Francisco Airport Office Center

840 Malcolm Road

Burlingame, California 94010

BY: FRANK PITRE

For Plaintiff FTC:

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Bureau of Consumer Protection 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Mailstop CC-9528

Washington, D.C. 20580

BY: JONATHAN COHEN

MICHELLE SCHAEFER

### APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

For Volkswagen:

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004

BY: ROBERT GIUFFRA, JR. SHARON L. NELLES

HERZFELD & RUBIN 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004

BY: MICHAEL B. GALLUB

For Porsche:

ALSTON & BIRD

One Atlantic Center

1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, GA 30309-3424

BY: CARI K. DAWSON
ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendants Bosch:

CLEARY, GOTTLIEB, STEEN & HAMILTON, LLP

2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20006-1801

BY: JEREMY CALSYN

Also present: Settlement Master: Robert Mueller, III, and

various interested parties

# Thursday - June 30, 2016 1 8:00 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE COURT: Would you call the matter, please. 4 5 THE CLERK: Calling case 15-md-02672, In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Marketing Sales Practices and 6 Products Liability Litigation. 7 Appearances, counsel. 8 MS. CABRASER: Good morning, Your Honor. Elizabeth 9 Cabraser on behalf of the plaintiffs for the plaintiff Steering 10 Committee. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 13 MR. VAN EATON: Good morning, Your Honor. Josh Van Eaton for the Justice Department. I represent the United 14 15 States with my co-counsel Bethany Engel. 16 THE COURT: Good morning. 17 MR. AKERS: Good morning, Your Honor. Nick Akers for 18 the People of the State of California. THE COURT: Good morning. I'd like to welcome you. 19 20 don't know whether one says welcome you to the litigation. 21 (Laughter) 22 THE COURT: But I think I could say this. I want to 23 thank you very much for coming in this morning and appearing in this matter. 24 25 MR. AKERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, Your Honor. Jonathan Cohen 1 MR. COHEN: for the Federal Trade Commission. With me today is my 2 co-counsel Michelle Schaefer. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 5 MR. GIUFFRA: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert Giuffra with Sullivan & Cromwell for Volkswagen. 6 And with me today is Sharon Nelles, my co-counsel, and Mike Gallub from 7 Herzfeld & Rubin. It's good to be here again. 8 MS. DAWSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Cari Dawson 9 Alston & Bird for the Porsche defendants. 10 11 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. CALSYN: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeremy Calsyn 12 from Cleary, Gottlieb for the Bosch defendants. 13 THE COURT: Good morning. 14 15 Well, as you know, we are on Court Call as well, which 16 means that a number of interested parties, attorneys, press, and so forth, phone in to the Court to hear the proceedings, 17 18 and we welcome them as well. I notice on the Court's web site that there have been some 19 20 filings in this matter, and they are the proposed settlement 21 agreements, consent decrees, and so forth, as to a portion of the litigation. 22 I think at the outset, clearly, I want to thank the 23

parties for complying with the Court's orders, requests,

documents that have been filed that there be a resolution,

24

25

suggested resolution of these matters.

It is, of course, essential in this litigation to address the serious ongoing problems that have occurred, in particular the expectation of consumers, that their issues that they raise in the Complaint will be addressed, and so I'd like to thank the parties for turning their attention to that aspect of the litigation.

And I understand from Director Mueller that the hours, the time, the energy that was spent in achieving this over the past several months has been profound. It cannot be overstated. I think it was described to me, when I inquired, well, how many meetings actually took place, it was characterized as one meeting that lasted two months. And I think that there is truth to that.

Obviously, when one reviews the documents, it's apparent how much work, how much thought went into these proceedings not only from the plaintiffs' point of view in terms of a private plaintiff or consumer plaintiff, but also from the Government, from the Environmental Protection Agency, from the Federal Trade Commission, from the California Air Resources Board - all of these individuals, all of these entities represented by individuals. And I think you have to emphasize that fact, because we talked about the Government in its institutional sense, but really the Government institutionally is represented by individuals. And so the question really is do these

individuals have the concerns that -- are they aware of the concerns so that they will move governmental entities to try to resolve problems, and I think that that's happened in this particular case.

So I want to especially note the dedication of the independent agencies, the FTC, the EPA, and California Air Resources Board, and I'll get to some of the other issues later.

I think that I should note, probably what is obvious to lawyers but may not be obvious to everybody participating in this, that this is a proposed settlement, that it is the responsibility of the Court to determine whether this proposed settlement is fair, whether it's reasonable, and that is a determination yet to be made by the Court.

And so everyone should look at the documents, understand the documents, understand the terms of the settlement, and the Court will address those concerns, some concerns, if it has any, at a hearing set for July 26th in this court to determine whether or not preliminary approval of the settlement shall be given.

So now I want to turn to the unsettled portion of the case. One of the unsettled portions, and the one that concerns the Court the most at this point, are what is it that can be done with the approximate 85,000 car owners who have purchased a 3-liter car. And I thought maybe I would get an update from

the Environmental Protection Agency. Mr. Eaton, so if you want to come forward and tell us where we are on that.

MR. VAN EATON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Yes. So the Agency and the Department of Justice understand the Court's priority to address the consumer environmental concerns, and we know that we have addressed a portion of that, and some remains. So I want to assure the Court that we will continue to work expeditiously to address those.

In terms of the remaining vehicles, I can tell you that the agencies, the regulators continue to evaluate those. They have received proposals from the defendants that they are reviewing. It is highly technical, a lot of engineering, and it takes time for the agencies to be fully confident that whatever is being proposed is a technically sound solution.

So we will be prepared to update the Court as the status of that process continues, but for now I assure the Court that the regulators have given their full attention to the 3 liters, and are working with Volkswagen to try to come up with another solution.

THE COURT: So does that -- when you're reviewing these matters, proposals from Volkswagen, does that include the testing of vehicles?

MR. VAN EATON: It does. There's a lot of testing that takes place, both by the company and the regulators. Of

course there's a lot of data that is generated, there's data to be verified, and it is typically a months long process.

THE COURT: Well, I think that's good. I understand that. I think the EPA has to be satisfied that any proposed fix passes environmental muster, and that is your responsibility, I assume, and also the responsibility of CARB and perhaps other agencies as well.

MR. VAN EATON: That's correct. The EPA and the Air Resources Board work extremely cooperatively. They are connected at the hip on this issue. They are reviewing all the data together, and will make an informed decision when they have enough information to do so.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I want to stress the Court's concern that while there's a proposal, a settlement proposal with respect to the 2-liter, I want to make sure that there is a proposal -- a proposed settlement, if that can be achieved, with respect to the 3-liter as well. I understand that additional testing is necessary.

So what I think I'd like to do is set a further status on the 3-liter issue, so that the Court can be updated, and I propose the date of August 25th, because it seems to me that you will need some additional time to perform the test on any proposed fix, and that seems to be a date that you would have information about.

Now, in that regard, I want to make sure that the

regulatory agencies bring Director Mueller into the process such that he becomes conversant with those issues, because as the Settlement Master it appears to the Court that it is necessary for him to know where we stand on it in order to advise the Court as to what the Court can or should do with respect to that, and he is really the Court's representative, and so I would appreciate your keeping him fully advised.

MR. VAN EATON: Your Honor, I can't promise the outcome, but we will do our best to make him fully conversant.

THE COURT: Great. Well, I appreciate that. Thank you very much.

I would like to get a report perhaps from Mr. Giuffra as to where we stand with the various Attorney Generals in the settlement process.

MR. GIUFFRA: Thank you, Your Honor.

First of all, I wanted to thank the Court and Director
Mueller on behalf of Volkswagen, because I think that Director
Mueller has really worked literally as much as any of the
lawyers have to bring about this settlement. And clearly Your
Honor's deadline was an important one, and we took that
deadline very, very seriously, and I think we met the deadline,
and we worked very hard. And Volkswagen as a company is very
committed to regain the trust of its customers, its regulators,
and the American public, and we want to make things right, and
I think the Settlement Agreement is an important first step.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, in terms of the scope of the Settlement, we've obviously got the federal regulators, and we've got the PSC. We have worked very hard, and I can report to the Court that thus far 44 states, including the District of Columbia, have endorsed the Settlement Agreement that we've reached. We've reached resolutions with those states with respect to their consumer relief statutes, their UDAP statutes, and that includes both a 2-liter cars and the 3-liter cars, and the states include the biggest states in the country: California, Texas, Florida, New York. It was a multi-state coalition, Your Honor, that was led by New York and Massachusetts, and they worked very hard to keep together their group. But then we also reached separate agreement, with, among other states, California and Texas. Those agreements are in the process of being filed with the relevant courts. We have a handful of states that are left, and I can assure the Court that we are working expeditiously to bring those states into the fold, because we think it's important for the public and for our customers to understand that so many states support this settlement and think that the resolution that's reached is one that is fair and reasonable, and, in fact, provides substantial compensation to consumers.

So we're moving full speed ahead on that, and I'm hopeful that we'll get maybe several more states to almost get to all 50 states, maybe one or two will be stragglers, but, you know,

this is a very broad comprehensive settlement. I've never seen one done as quickly as this with so many different parties.

On the 3-liter, Your Honor, let me just make one observation. From the standpoint of the company, this became an issue much later in the process, it became an issue in November. We are working expeditiously to resolve the 3-liter issue. It's a different engine than the 2-liter engine. It's a bigger engine, the amount of NOx, the excess NOx is much less, and we're working very closely with EPA and CARB to resolve the issue. As Your Honor noted, there is testing that is going on. The company believes it can fix the 3-liter to the standard to which those cars were originally certified, and we believe that the fix will not be a complicated fix, and we believe it will be one that will not have an adverse impact on performance. It's just a process that will take time, because of the need to do the durability testing to make sure that that fix is a long lasting fix.

THE COURT: Thank you. Again, to Volkswagen, let's make sure that Director Mueller is fully apprise as to the progress of trying to resolve the 3-liter.

MR. GIUFFRA: We will keep Director Mueller very much engaged. And, again, he's been critical to the success of this process.

THE COURT: And also I would say, as to the states,

I'm delighted that you have 44. I think the goal here is to

leave no state behind.

(Laughter)

THE COURT: And whether they are big states or little states, they are states, and they have an independent and important function in this process. But, again, I am quite sure that their goal, like the goal of all of us, is to see whether there can be a resolution that's fair and reasonable to all people affected and all states that are affected.

So thank you very much.

MR. GIUFFRA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I wanted to -- I know that this proceeding is rather lengthy, so I wanted not to prolong it, but I wanted to emphasize one other thing, which is that the Court issued an order as to confidentiality, and settlement proceedings by their very nature are protected by the law in the sense that what is said in settlement discussions, negotiations, and so forth, is not disclosed unless there's some order of the Court which would place that disclosure within an exception to the general rule. But the general rule is that those matters are confidential.

The Court has issued an order with respect to what the parties can and cannot say about those proceedings. So while I can appreciate the fact that interested parties, in particular the press, may want to write stories, the inside story of what actually happened in these negotiations, and so forth, as far

as the Court is concerned, while that may be interesting and may be significant, it may not, I don't know, I must protect the integrity of settlement disclosures by issuing such an order, and that the fact of the matter is that what is important from the public's point of view, at least in the Court's view, is what comes out of the discussion, not the discussions themselves, other than that they should take place. And so that parties in a discussion will feel comfortable, and feel free to disclose things to the other side to the Director, it's essential that these discussions remain at this point confidential. And so I don't want any member of the press to be too upset when they call a lawyer and say, well, come on, tell me off the record, or so forth, what really happened, that lawyer should not respond. That lawyer should honor the disclosure, the prohibition against disclosures.

And I think that it will be seen in the, quote, fullness of time, that what is really significant are the documents evincing the terms of the settlement, and those are disclosed. They are fully disclosed. They are on the Court's web site. They are on various web sites of the plaintiffs' Steering Committee, I think VW, I think the FTC, is that correct, the FTC, the EPA. So what has been agreed upon, by the parties is knowable and known, and I would encourage anyone who needs to or wants to to find out what are the terms of the settlement, to go to those web sites and look at it for themselves in order

to make a determination as to what the status of these 1 proceedings are. 2 And again, our work is ongoing. And so Mr. Giuffra, I'm 3 just going to have to tell you that to get acquainted with your 4 5 son may take a bit longer --(Laughter) 6 THE COURT: -- or reacquainted. But that's true of 7 all lawyers who have, you know, who have set aside personal 8 9 obligations and have responded to the call of their 10 professional responsibilities in achieving these resolutions, and so I want to thank you once again. 11 I will see you all, perhaps a few more, on July 26th. 12 And I apologize for the length of this proceeding. 13 14 Thank you very much. We're in recess. 15 (Proceedings adjourned at 8:22 a.m.) 16 17 ---000---18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Thursday, June 30, 2016 DATE: Rhonda L Aquilina, SR No. 9956, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter